



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1:

Journal Name:	Physical Review & Research International
Manuscript Number:	MS: 2012/PRRI/2399
Title of the Manuscript:	The classical mechanics from the quantum equation.

General guideline for Peer Review process is available in this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)

- This form has total 9 parts. Kindly note that you should use all the parts of this review form.



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 2: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments		
Minor REVISION comments		
Optional/General comments	<p>This manuscript should be rejected. It seems to be nothing but a very poorly executed extract from two other manuscripts by the same author, available at arXiv.org, article-ids 1007.4198 and 1210.1138, of which the former (Ref. 5 in the present manuscript) apparently has been submitted for publication elsewhere (J. Math. Phys). The Conclusion section in the present manuscript is almost word for word the same as in Ref. 5, and the analysis of the Schrödinger equation is identical to the analysis presented in arXiv:1210.1138. The whole thing becomes ridiculous in line 203 of the present manuscript, where the reader is referred to reference numbers 37 and 38 but the manuscript only lists 5 references! This line is copied right out of arXiv:1210.1138, which does, in fact, have these references in the reference list.</p>	<p>Each one of the preceding papers of the author available at arXiv.org, article-ids 1007.4198 and 1210.1138, are focused on a single particular aspect of the SQHA model. In the present paper there is an overall treatment that gives an advanced unitary perspective on the quantum and classical mechanics that goes beyond the previous results.</p> <p>The conclusion section has been re-written as well as the part regarding the analysis of the Schrödinger equation and made more appropriate for the manuscript.</p> <p>The mistake about references has been corrected and new references have been added.</p>